



CORC

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Wong et al.
Assignee: Maxtor Corporation
Title: MAGNETIC RECORDING MEDIA HAVING ADJUSTABLE
COERCIVITY USING MULTIPLE MAGNETIC LAYERS AND
METHOD OF MAKING SAME
Patent No.: 6,753,100 Issues: June 22, 2004
Serial No.: 09/584,764 Filed: May 30, 2000
Examiner: Rickman, H. Group Art Unit: 1773
Atty. Docket No.: MM0011

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: Decision and Certificate of Correction
Branch of the Patent Issue Division

Certificate
JUL 23 2004
of Correction

**REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION OF PATENT
FOR APPLICANT'S MISTAKE**

Applicant hereby requests a Certificate of Correction for the captioned-patent due to a mistake by Applicant in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.323.

The captioned-patent contains a typographical error, as more fully described below.

Claim 3

Claims 1 and 3 were presented in the original application as follows:

23 JUL 2004

1 1. (Original) In the manufacture of a magnetic recording medium, a method of varying
2 coercivity comprising the steps of
3 a) providing a substrate for supporting magnetic layers,
4 b) sputtering on the substrate an underlayer having a lattice structure for matching
5 with a magnetic layer lattice structure,
6 c) sputtering a first magnetic layer on the underlayer, the first magnetic layer having
7 a first alloy composition, and
8 d) sputtering a second magnetic layer on the first magnetic layer, the second
9 magnetic layer having a second alloy composition which differs from the first alloy composition,
10 whereby coercivity of the two magnetic layers is determined by the relative thicknesses of the
11 two magnetic layers.

1 3. (Original) The method as defined by claim 2 wherein each of the two magnetic layers
2 comprise a cobalt alloy with at least one of chromium, platinum, tantalum, boron, niobium,
3 molybdenum, nickel, tungsten, carbon, aluminum, iron, and manganese.

Claim 1 recites the “two” magnetic layers in reference to the first and second magnetic layers. Claim 3 also recites the “two” magnetic layers. Thus, in claim 3, the “two” magnetic layers are the first and second magnetic layers.

Claim 1 was amended in the Response filed on April 14, 2002 as follows:

1 1. (Amended) In the manufacture of a magnetic recording medium, a method of varying
2 coercivity comprising the steps of:
3 a) providing a substrate for supporting magnetic layers;;
4 b) sputtering on the substrate an underlayer having a lattice structure for matching
5 with a magnetic layer lattice structure;;
6 c) sputtering a first magnetic layer on the underlayer, the first magnetic layer having
7 a first alloy composition **and a first coercivity;;** and

8 d) sputtering a second magnetic layer on **and in contact with** the first magnetic
9 layer, the second magnetic layer having a second alloy composition which differs from the first
10 alloy composition **and a second coercivity which differs from the first coercivity**, whereby a
11 coercivity of the two magnetic layers is **between the first and second coercivities and is**
12 determined by the relative thicknesses of the two magnetic layers.

Claim 1 was amended in the Response filed on January 15, 2003 as follows:

1 1. (Twice Amended) In the manufacture of a magnetic recording medium, a method of
2 varying coercivity comprising the steps of:
3 a) providing a substrate for supporting magnetic layers;
4 b) sputtering on the substrate an underlayer having a lattice structure for matching
5 with a magnetic layer lattice structure;
6 c) sputtering a first magnetic layer on the underlayer, the first magnetic layer having
7 a first alloy composition and a first coercivity;~~and~~
8 d) sputtering a second magnetic layer on and in contact with the first magnetic layer,
9 the second magnetic layer having a second alloy composition which differs from the first alloy
10 composition and a second coercivity which differs from the first coercivity, whereby a coercivity
11 of the two magnetic layers is **different than ~~between~~** the first and second coercivities and is
12 determined by the relative thicknesses of the two magnetic layers; **and**
13 e) **sputtering a third magnetic layer on the second magnetic layer.**

An error originated in the Response filed on January 15, 2003. Since claim 1 was amended to include a third magnetic layer, claim 3 should have been amended to refer to the “first and second” magnetic layers instead of the “two” magnetic layers.

Therefore, in the captioned-patent, “two” should be changed to -- first and second -- at column 3, line 60 (claim 3).

Conclusion


The error discussed above is a typographical mistake by the undersigned attorney that occurred in good faith. The error is self-evident from the Specification. The error does not materially affect the scope or meaning of the captioned-patent. Correction thereof does not involve such changes in the captioned-patent as would constitute new matter or require reexamination.

Attached in duplicate is a PTO/SB/44 (also Form PTO-1050) with both copies suitable for printing.


Please send the Certificate to:

David M. Sigmond
Maxtor Corporation
2452 Clover Basin Drive
Longmont, CO 80503

Please charge the \$100 fee and charge any underpayment and credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-0016/MM0011.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on July 16, 2004.	
	7, 16, 04
David M. Sigmond Attorney for Applicant	Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,



David M. Sigmond
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 34,013
(303) 702-4132
(303) 678-3111 (fax)

23 JUL 2004

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

(Also Form PTO-1050)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO.: 6,753,100 B1
DATED: June 22, 2004
INVENTOR: Bunsen Y. Wong et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent are hereby corrected as shown below:

Column 3

Line 60, change "two" to -- first and second --.

MAILING ADDRESS OF SENDER:

David M. Sigmond
Maxtor Corporation
2452 Clover Basin Drive
Longmont, CO 80503

PATENT NO. 6,753,100

No. of additional copies

1

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. **DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:** Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

23 JUL 2004

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

(Also Form PTO-1050)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO.: 6,753,100 B1
DATED: June 22, 2004
INVENTOR: Bunsen Y. Wong et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent are hereby corrected as shown below:

Column 3

Line 60, change "two" to -- first and second --.

MAILING ADDRESS OF SENDER:

David M. Sigmond
Maxtor Corporation
2452 Clover Basin Drive
Longmont, CO 80503

PATENT NO. 6,753,100

No. of additional copies

1

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

23 JUL 2004